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FOREWORD
(2016 EDITION)

When an individual makes the decision to embark upon a journey of consciousness exploration – through the kinds of practices that Crowleyan Magick employs – the universe has a tendency to plunge one headlong into a world of really peculiar shit. This adoption of a novel way of thinking inevitably results in new synapses being formed as the brain attempts to assimilate and adapt to the sensory overload it has just been presented with. All of a sudden synchronicities appear to be everywhere as one wrestles with a paradigm shift in personal understanding, where the accepted model of the universe being external to the self becomes swiftly superseded with the idea that all consciousness might in some way be intertwined and that we are each, in essence, the centre of our own universe.

This is what happened to me in my early twenties as I started to dabble in the prescribed techniques of Aleister Crowley. Despite all the criticism that has been directed towards him – and contrary to the accepted materialist viewpoint adopted by the present-day western world – Crowley’s techniques, which at first seem contrary to all the beliefs held by anyone possessing a ‘rational’ mind, do indeed seem to work.

One such branch of study that Crowley recommended to those embarking on his A.:A.:: syllabus is an exploration of Qabalah and, in particular, its subdivision known as Gematria. Without going into a lengthy analysis of what Gematria is, one shall simply summarise by saying that it is the assumption that words of a similar numerical value are said to possess a connection between them and that profound truths can be derived thereof. For example: the Hebrew words for “Serpent” and “Messiah” calculate to 358 when the value of each of the letters are totalled up, and as a result a special relationship is said to exist between them.

Whether or not this notion can be accepted as ‘true’ is, of course, entirely up for debate. Personally I am not convinced one way or the other, so it was especially interesting for me – twenty years ago – when I appeared to discover what I thought might potentially be an English Gematric system encoded within The Book of the Law.

Now whether one accepts The Book of the Law to have been either divinely dictated, the result of an automatic writing, or simply one of Aleister Crowley’s more elaborate hoaxes really doesn’t matter a jot, for the result is fundamentally the same: that the apparent discovery of a hidden key, derived from a cryptic cipher within the book’s pages, has the ability to alter the way one thinks about the nature of reality. I therefore propose one possibility that no-one seems to have considered: that this result may in fact be the cipher’s only purpose.
The reason I make this proposal is that I am not the only person to have claimed to have found a solution to the riddle of *The Book of the Law* and that there have been many before me who have professed similar claims (as well as, I’m sure, many yet to follow who will also make such assertions).

Can all of these solutions be correct then? Well, that is actually one very real possibility. What if the cipher was specifically designed to reveal profound truths to everyone who endeavoured to solve it and subsequently explain it? A kind of universal cipher, if you like, that brings the initiate to the realisation of the book’s tenet that “every man and every woman is a star”\(^1\).

Another possibility is that the cipher means nothing at all and that if Crowley was the sole and main creator of *The Book of the Law* (and it was not divinely inspired or dictated by some discarnate entity called Aiwaz) he realised that humans, given enough time and ingenuity, would find their own solution to fit the conundrum. Perhaps then, that is the lesson that is intended to be learned: that the models we create to explain our reality should never be regarded as absolute truths, and that we should always remember to only ever see them for the models that they really are, which we ourselves created\(^2\).

But twenty years on I do not purport to know for sure. One thing that I do know, however, is that had I thought about this possibility when I was attempting to find the cipher’s solution in the early-1990s, I would have written this document very differently. I hereby apologise in advance for the rather stuffy way in which it is presented, however, in my defence: I was young, naïve and perhaps overly excitabale about thinking I had found the one ‘true’ solution. Certainly there are more recent Gematric findings from my solution that still have the ability to profoundly perplex and intrigue me\(^3\), but these days, however, I prefer to remain sceptical and open-minded to all the possibilities.

Until such time as these findings create something of practical use or value (or reveal some profound and startling understanding about the nature of reality) I will mentally file this work under the category of ‘intriguing’ and leave it at that. I would strongly suggest that others who are convinced they have found their own solutions to the cipher do exactly the same. The last thing the occult world needs in the 21\(^{st}\) century is more messianic complexes and over-inflated egos.

*George T. Mortimer*

*May 2016*

---

\(^1\) *Liber AL*, Chapter I, Verse 3.
\(^2\) Or, as the philosopher Alfred Korzybski put it: “the map is not the territory”.
\(^3\) Notably “The word of the law is Thelema” totalling to 666 and “Boleskine House” at 418.
INTRODUCTION

For those of you who are unfamiliar with occult literature and, in particular, Thelemic magical writings *The Book of the Law* (or *Liber AL vel Legis*) is a holy book of entrancing fascination. Dictated in Cairo, between 12 noon and 1 pm over three consecutive days, April 8th, 9th and 10th 1904, the book is written in an extraordinary form of prose-poetry.

Somewhat captivating and often disturbing in context, it announces the beginning of a new era for mankind, in which the formula of death and redemption (the formula of Christ, the Aeon of Osiris) is replaced rather violently by a formula of equality and individuality (the Aeon of Horus).

Since it is neither my will nor intention to present a detailed account of the events surrounding the reception of this intriguing manuscript, one feels almost compelled to direct the interested reader’s attention towards the recipient Aleister Crowley, and his own account, *The Equinox of the Gods*[^4]. Here, Crowley explains in depth the successive stages of his occult training, right up to the point at which he receives his startling revelation from Aiwaz – a being that he describes as an intelligence of “praeter-human” ability.

For far too long now, Aleister Crowley, “the Beast” (as he is hailed in the book), has been portrayed by his critics as a fraud, a charlatan and a most ill-reputed unsavoury character. It is therefore partially my objective to analyse a number of intriguing prophetic passages within *Liber Legis*, and prove that Crowley’s claim to have communed with praeter-human intelligence (whatever that may be) may indeed be a valid one.

A number of people have, in the past, endeavoured to discover the key to an apparently mind-boggling cipher contained within *The Book of the Law* (chapter II, verse 76). It is perhaps then only just that most of them have failed in their attempts, since their intentions have been rather more self-absorbed than sincere. Two men however, stand out as pioneers in this extraordinary field of study.

The first man, Charles Stansfeld Jones, was at one time a rather brilliant if not unorthodox Qabalist, whose work *Liber XXXI*[^5] contained an important mathematical key. Unfortunately, as is often the case with great innovators, his ego became drastically inflated beyond all proportions. Having previously sworn a magical oath that he was by no means prepared for, he ended his days in a grubby raincoat being arrested as a flasher.

The second man who has contributed greatly to unveiling much of the mystery surrounding Liber Legis is provocative occult writer Gerald Suster. His published analysis *The Riddle of the Book of the Law II* 76 is not only “marked by the most sublime simplicity”\(^6\), it is also direct, straight to the point and, like all of Gerald’s work deserves considerable acclaim.

My main intentions therefore, for embarking upon this project are thus:

- To expound further the Qabalistic significance of Suster’s key and reveal a striking connection that exists between this and the discoveries of Charles Stansfeld Jones.

- To show by means of my own findings that the cipher of Liber Legis is of considerable interest to us in confirming whether or not praeter-human intelligences do exist (either within or out-with human consciousness).

- To encourage more research into these findings so that further revelations may be attained.

\(^6\) Crowley, A; New Comment to Liber Legis II: 75-76: “Be ye well assured all, that the solution, when it is found will be unquestionable. It will be marked with the most sublime simplicity, and carry immediate conviction.”
CHAPTER ONE
THE CONCEPTION & BIRTH OF THE MAGICAL CHILD

During the month of September in 1915, Aleister Crowley and his, at that time, Scarlet Woman, Jane Foster (aka “Hilarion”) undertook a magical operation of an exceptionally curious nature. The intention was to beget what Crowley called “a magical child”, and the method was by means of the usual and preferred technique of all potential parents, copulation.

Crowley desired a son, and preferably one who would discover the key to Liber L vel Legis (the initial title he had given to The Book of the Law). As the text of this holy book states:

54. Change not as much as the style of a letter; for behold! Thou, o prophet, shalt not behold all these mysteries hidden therein.
55. The child of thou bowels, he shall behold them.
56. Expect him not from the East, nor from the West; for from no expected house cometh that child. Aum! All words are sacred and all prophets true; save only that they understand a little; solve the first half of the equation, leave the second unattacked. But thou hast all in the clear light, and some, though not all, in the dark.

(Liber Legis I: 54-56)

Much to Crowley’s dismay, Hilarion did not conceive, and even though he “really loved her with a love more exalted than aught in all my experience”\(^7\), the relationship, like many he had had previously, was unsuccessful.

It was during the summer of 1916 (exactly 9 months after the Beast’s magical copulation) that events took a very strange turn indeed. Therion (literally meaning “Beast”) received a telegram from Vancouver which informed him that Charles Stansfeld Jones, Frater Achad, a Neophyte of Crowley’s magical Order the A:.A:.A:, had sworn at the solstice the Oath of the Abyss. This meant that Jones, instead of working systematically through the grades of the Order, had catapulted himself directly into what is termed the Abyss, thus believing himself to have attained the exalted grade of Magister Templi (a Master of the Temple). As Gerald Suster explained:

In the Western Tradition, there is something called the Oath of the Abyss. It’s most important section consists of swearing to regard each and every event as a dealing between the Universe and the Self. This of course applies to the most trivial matters, including an advertisement seen on television or a change in traffic lights. It would apply also to the event of being given a cup of unsatisfactory tea. It should be obvious to any attentive reader that it is hard to distinguish this state from that of paranoid psychosis, or one induced by a powerful dose of LSD or that of a small child. The last analogy is the closest. It is similar – very similar – to, though not precisely identical with the state of being under consideration. One of the finer sayings attributed to Jesus Christ is: “Except ye be as little

children, ye shall in no wise enter the kingdom of heaven”. In the Western Tradition, it is not for nothing that this state is termed: the Babe of the Abyss.  

Jones then had become such a Babe; and Crowley, whom had considered Achad’s jump extremely rash, recognised this Babe as “the child” who was prophesied in *The Book of the Law*.

Charles Robert John Stansfeld Jones was born in London on April the 2\textsuperscript{nd} 1886. An accountant by profession, his interests in occultism flourished at the age of twenty. On December 24\textsuperscript{th} 1909, Jones became a Probationer of the A.:A:. His motto Unus In Omnibus (abbreviated to V.I.O.) literally means “one in all”, and during May 1910 he moved to British Columbia. By 1913 he was admitted to the grade of Neophyte. This time assuming the motto Achad (the Hebrew term for “one”), Jones maintained a healthy correspondence with the A.:H.: headquarters in London, and, more notably, Aleister Crowley. It should be noted that Jones was also an initiate of Crowley’s other, more masonic, magical Order, the O.T.O, where eventually he became Grand Master X\textsuperscript{o} for North America. The motto he took here was Parzival after Wolfram Von Eschenbach’s epic tale of spiritual development.

As we have already examined, *The Book of the Law* contains several references to the word “child”. What might be of equal import however, are the passages which make reference to the term “one” (i.e. Achad).

75. Aye! Listen to the numbers & the words:
76. 4 6 3 8 A B K 2 4 A L G M O R 3 Y X 24 89 R P S T O V A L. What meaneth this, o prophet? Thou knowest not; nor shalt thou know ever. There cometh one to follow thee; he shall expound it. But remember, o chosen one, to be me; to follow the love of Nu in the star-lit heaven; to look forth upon men, to tell them this glad word.  

*(Liber Legis II: 75-76)*

47. This book shall be translated into all tongues: but always with the original in the writing of the Beast; for in the chance shape of the letters and their position to one another: in these are mysteries that no Beast shall divine. Let him not seek to try: but one cometh after him whence I say not, who shall discover the Key of it all. Then this line drawn is a Key: then this circle squared in its failure is a key also. And Abrahadabra. It shall be his child and that strangely. Let him not seek after this; for thereby alone can he fall from it.  

*(Liber Legis III: 47)*

After his plunge into the Abyss during the summer solstice of 1916, Charles Stansfeld Jones took a new motto, V.I.O.O.I.V. (Unis In Omnibus, Omnia In Unum). The following year, however, he reversed this motto to O.I.V.V.I.O. and at the winter solstice of 1917, the Beast’s “magical son” acquired a curious illumination regarding the Hebrew word “AL” (meaning “God” or “existence”).

---

On October 7th & 8th 1918, Jones discovered that “AL” was a key which unlocked many of the mysteries contained within *The Book of the Law*. Commencing a thesis entitled *Liber XXXI*¹⁰, Frater Achad, for reasons beyond all logical comprehension, failed to deliver this paper to Crowley until September 1919. It seemed that Achad was indeed the prophesied child, “and that strangely”.

CHAPTER TWO
THE DISCOVERIES OF CHARLES STANSFELD JONES

On first appearance, one cannot help wondering how on earth a two letter word could possibly be of much value as a key to unlocking the mysteries within a curiously written book. It is perhaps rather vital then, for the current writer to include a brief explanation of what is termed The Literal Qabalah, and in particular, its branch of study, Gematria. As the late Dr. Israel Regardie put it:

Its method of procedure depends on the fact that each Hebrew letter has a definite numerical value and may actually be used in place of a number. When the total of the numbers of the letters of any one word were identical with that of another word, no matter how different its meaning and translation, a close correspondence and analogy was seen.\(^{11}\)

Gematria then, is quite simply, the relation of letters and words with regards to their numerical value. The system is not, however, exclusive to the Hebrew alphabet alone, since there are also systems pertaining to Greek, Coptic, Arabic and, as will be shown later, English.

Let us look at a simple example: THELEMA (the Greek word for “Will”) has a numerical value of 93, as does AGAPE (the Greek word for “Love”). This is of considerable importance when endeavouring to analyse The Book of the Law, since one of its prime commandments is “Love is the law, love under will”. It shall probably be of no surprise to the reader then, to learn that AIWAZ (the alleged communicating intelligence of Liber Legis) has a Gematric value of 93 also.

Little wonder that Charles Stansfeld Jones put so much emphasis on his discovery of the word “AL”, since its value, 31, is exactly one third of 93. As Crowley wrote:

Now 93 is thrice 31; 31 is LA, “Not” and AL, “The” or “God”; these words run throughout the Book, giving a double meaning to many passages. A third 31 is the compound letter ShT, the two hieroglyphs Sh and T (many centuries old) being pictures of the “Dramatis Personae” of the Book.\(^{12}\)

This word “ShT” shall be discussed more fully later, suffice it to say that “the two hieroglyphs” Crowley was referring to were those pertaining to the Tarot. Sh (Shin) corresponds to XX The Aeon (or The Last Judgement), whereas T (Teth) is XI Lust (also known as Strength). The more attentive reader will have undoubtedly noticed that XX + XI = XXXI (31).

Let us analyse some of the book’s passages more closely:

---


\(^{12}\) Crowley, A; Magick, page 351 (Guild Publishing, 1989).
III: 63. “The fool readeth this Book of the Law, and its comment; & he understandeth it not”.

Now “The Fool” in the Tarot corresponds with the Hebrew letter Aleph, which has a Gematric value of “one”. As for the phrase “he understandeth it not”; this is actually quite straightforward, since as previously mentioned, the Hebrew word for “not” is LA.

III: 47. “Let him not seek to try: but one cometh after him whence I say not, who shall discover the Key of it all”.

The “one” who “cometh after him” can be understood as a reference to Achad/Jones who “shall discover the Key of it all” (i.e. “all”, AL, 31 is the Key).

I: 48. “My prophet is a fool with his one, one, one”.

Again the importance of the terms “fool” and “one” are presented. However there is a further secret here, for the letter Aleph spelt in full (ALP; A=1, L=30, P=80) has a Gematric value of 111, “one, one, one”.

I: 45. “Nothing is a secret key to this law. Sixty-one the Jews call it; I call it eight, eighty, four hundred & eighteen”.

“Nothing” (i.e. LA, Not) is “a secret key to this law”. Whereas “Sixty-one” is the value of the Hebrew word for “AIN” meaning “nothing” or “non-existence”. Now “eight” is the value of the letter Cheth (guttural Ch as in “loch”) which comes to “four hundred and eighteen” when spelt in full (ChITh). The number “eighty” equates with the letter Peh, which in turn corresponds to the “Lightning Struck Tower” of the Tarot. This tower represents the forces of Mars which is the Roman equivalent of the Egyptian God Horus (the Lord of the Aeon). It should be noted that The Book of the Law reveals the Word of the Aeon as ABRAHADABRA, and it is this word which has a numerical value of “four hundred & eighteen” also.

Inevitably Aleister Crowley found these discoveries rather fascinating. So much so in fact, that it prompted him to change the title of The Book of the Law from Liber L vel Legis to Liber AL vel Legis, since he felt that his initial title “L” (i.e. Lamed, which pertains to Libra and therefore “Law”) was rather insignificant in comparison to Achad’s “AL”.

Now as has been mentioned previously, Jones took the motto Parzival upon becoming Grand Master X° of the O.T.O. What is uncertain however, is whether or not he was aware of his motto’s numerical value at the time, for as Crowley discovered: “Parzival, adding to 418, is (in the legend of the Graal) the son of Kamuret, adding to 666”\(^{13}\). This then seemed further proof of Achad’s identity, for not only did he assume a motto equating with the word of the Aeon, but he had

---

also taken a motto with a numerical value that was identical to that of the book’s alleged messenger; as Crowley explained:

I must add one cumulative proof which came to light only in 1923. *The Book of the Law* claims to express its ideas not by its literary meanings alone but by the actual letters of the manuscript. It had annoyed me that in the manuscript the name was spelt AIWASS, which does not add to 93. But this year, reflecting that *The Book of the Law* is connected more the Greek Cabbala than with the Hebrew, I transliterated AIWASS into Greek off-hand. Its value is 418! And this is the number of the Magical Formula of the Aeon. It represents the practice of the Book as 93 does the theory. It is now evident with what inconceivable ingenuity AIWAZ has arranged his expression. He is not content to give one spelling of his name, however potent; he gives two which taken together are not merely twice as significant as either alone, but more so, in a degree which is beyond me to calculate.  

How unfortunate then that Achad should fall from his position as the Beast’s magical heir. As Gerald Suster wrote:

Where did Achad/Jones go wrong? Most would argue that he took the Oath of the Abyss at 10 (Malkuth) – an admitted fact – and the quantum jump into 3 (Understanding) was too much for him; he had not learned enough to be worth annihilation. Or else, he did it for the worst possible reason – he wanted to be a Master. If you want to be a Master, you haven’t a hope in hell. Your desire is the measure of your egotism.  

After having inverted the Qabalistic Tree of Life, flashed his naked body on the streets of Vancouver, joined the Roman Catholic Church, and finally proclaimed himself Messiah, Charles Stansfeld Jones died in the year 1950, leaving behind him an extremely dubious, and mostly worthless, magical legacy.

---

14 Sometimes spelt Kabbalah or Qabalah, depending on personal preference.
CHAPTER THREE
THE DISCOVERIES OF GERALD SUSTER

During the Spring of the year 1989, an extremely interesting hypothesis appeared within the pages of the journal *Nuit-Isis*¹⁷:

THE RIDDLE OF THE BOOK OF THE LAW II 76

*By Gerald Suster*

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

II 76. 4 6 3 8 A B K 2 4 A L G M O R 3 Y X 24 89 R P S T O V A L What meaneth this, 0 prophet? Thou knowest not, nor shalt thou know ever. There cometh one to follow thee: he shall expound it. But remember, o chosen one to be me, to follow the love of Nu in the star-lit heaven, to look forth upon men, to tell them this glad word.

Much fuss has been expended and much misapplied energy and intelligence wasted on endeavouring to find a solution to the above. The answer is mind-blastingly simple and obvious.

It is 419 = 418 + “one to follow thee” = the Beast and Babalon.

The Method.
A – Turn to a copy of the MS of the Book of the Law, p 16, III 47. It is the only page written on a grid, obviously for some purpose. “Then this line drawn is a key...” The line in question runs from C to 7 on the grid.
B – Attribute the English Alphabet so that c=7, therefore b=6, d=8, w=1, etc.
C – Using this key, change the letters of the Riddle into numbers and add up.
D – The result is 419. “418 + ‘one to follow thee’”. Also 419 = Teth spelled in full = Serpent = Atu XI = Lust = Beast and Babalon conjoined = Eleven Pointed Star (one thinks of ‘And Abrahadabra’) = Leo = lion/Serpent = Baphomet.
E – The fact that, without thinking of the Book of the Law, I took the Magical Name VAL (Venio Ad Lucem) in 1974 is probably not especially relevant; nor is the fact that my Sun and Moon are conjoined at 9 degrees in Leo.
F – Qabalistic analysis of key words in the Book according to the C=7 key yields fascinating results.
G – The mystery has been explained. Big deal – and about time too.

Now let’s all get on with some work.

Love is the law, love under will

To expand then, what Gerald had in fact done was utilise the line drawn over the grid of part of the manuscript (see figure 1) as a means of accessing the hidden wisdom within verse II: 76. Thus, by use of simple arithmetic, he arrived at 419; the value of the Hebrew letter Teth spelt in full (TITh; T=9, I=10, Th=400).

¹⁷ This biannual journal was published by Nuit-Isis Publications and although it is no longer extent, back issues may still be available from PO Box 250, Oxford, OX1 1AP. It should be noted that *The Riddle Of The Book Of The Law II 76* also appeared in an edition of *Chaos International*. 18
Now Teth corresponds to the 11th Tarot Trump (XI Lust), and it is this card which depicts The Beast & The Scarlet Woman (Babalon) conjoined. As *The Book of the Law* states:

15. Now ye shall know that the chosen priest & apostle of infinite space is the prince-priest the Beast; and in his woman called the Scarlet Woman is all power given. They shall gather my children into their fold: they shall bring the glory of the stars into the hearts of men.

16. For he is ever a sun, and she a moon. But to him is the winged secret flame, and to her the stooping starlight.

*(Liber Legis I: 15-16)*

Note: “For he is ever a sun, and she a moon”. Hadn’t Suster written that his Sun and Moon were conjoined at 9° in Leo? Indeed he had, and as the Qabalah correctly reveals: Leo = Lust = Teth = 9. Further; the last five letters of the cipher read: “T O V A L”, and therefore Gerald, who had assumed the motto VAL (Venio Ad Lucem) back in 1974, must have recognised this cipher as a possible message intended for his own scrutiny. Moreover:

55. Thou shalt obtain the order & value of the English Alphabet; thou shalt find new symbols to attribute them unto.

*(Liber Legis II: 55)*

Although the second part of this prophecy has not yet been fulfilled, here is the numerical system predicated on Suster’s C=7 key:

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This method of Gematria shall be analysed more closely in the following chapter.
CHAPTER FOUR
MY OWN FINDINGS & THE KEY AL=L

Having first read Gerald Suster’s hypothesis during the summer of 1992, something compelled me to examine his theories further at the beginning of April 1993. As the text of The Book of the Law states:

55. The child of thy bowels, he shall behold them.
56. Expect him not from the East, nor from the West; for from no expected house cometh that child. Aum! All words are sacred and all prophets true; save only that they understand a little; solve the first half of the equation, leave the second unattacked. But thou hast all in the clear light, and some, though not all, in the dark.

(Liber Legis I: 55-56)

My main concern was the instruction: “solve the first half of the equation, leave the second unattacked”, for this seemed to indicate to me that there were two parts to the cipher. As the book goes on:

47. This book shall be translated into all tongues: but always with the original in the writing of the Beast; for in the chance shape of the letters and their position to one another: in these are mysteries that no Beast shall divine. Let him not seek to try: but one cometh after him whence I say not, who shall discover the Key of it all. Then this line drawn is a Key: then this circle squared in its failure is a key also. And Abrahadabra. It shall be his child and that strangely. Let him not seek after this; for thereby alone can he fall from it.

(Liber Legis III: 47)

Then it struck me. Gerald had only solved “the first half of the equation” which was “this line drawn”. The second half: “this circle squared in its failure” seemed to have been left “unattacked”.

My first attempts at finding a solution were, to say the least, unproductive. As I wrote in my diary of April 6th:

“this circle squared” seems to be a reference to the term “square the circle” which suggests the problem of constructing a square equal in area to that of a given circle (a conundrum incapable of a purely geometric solution). Perhaps therefore “in its failure” implies the very essence of this impossible task.

After much contemplation I decided to examine the grid on the manuscript more closely (see figure 1). Suddenly it hit me like a lightning bolt: “then this circle squared” is the position of the circle on the grid (the circle at D7), and that “in its failure” implies the deficiency of D=7 to mimic C=7. I got to work immediately, converting the letters of the cipher into numbers and adding up.

4 6 3 8 A B K 2 4 A L G M O R 3 Y X 24 89 R P S T O V A L = 400.
Unfortunately being an absolutely dreadful Qabalist at the time, I saw very little significance in the value 400 (idiot that I was). Informing Gerald several weeks later of my discoveries, his missive to me of May 7th says it all:

Now: I really must thank you for your extraordinarily interesting comments on AL, II, 76. It struck me that you really might have a clue there and so I attacked the second half of the equation, predicated on d=7. To use contemporary vernacular, the result left me gob-smacked. According to my maths, it adds up to 400. I’ve asked a good friend and Brother who is also a good qabalist to check it out for the result seems too good to be true.

As Crowley wrote in *Gematria*:

400. The letter Tau, “The Universe”. It is the square of 20, “The Wheel of Fortune”, and it shows the Universe therefore as the Sphere of Fortune – the Samsara Cakkrām, where Karma, which fools call chance, rules.

400 is the total number of Sephiroth, each containing 10 in itself and being repeated in the 4 worlds of Atziluth, Briah, Yetzirah, and Assiah. These four worlds are themselves attributed to IHVH, which is therefore not the name of a tribal fetish, but the formula of a system.\(^{19}\)

This may seem rather confusing to those of you who are unfamiliar with the Qabalah. Suffice it to say here then that 400 (the value of the Hebrew letter Tau) corresponds to XXI The Universe in the Tarot (a symbol of completion). Further: 400 is 20 squared, and 20 is the numerical value of Kaph, the letter attributed to card X The Wheel Of Fortune. Taking these two correspondences into account, 400 is quite simply a number which “shows the fixed universe as a system of rolling wheels” as Crowley put it in *777*.\(^{20}\)

Although I found all this extremely fascinating, I was rather dismayed to learn that D=7 as a Gematric system, revealed little, if not practically nothing, in the way of Qabalistic truths. I returned to *The Book of the Law*:

III: 47. “Then this line drawn is a Key: then this circle squared in its failure is a key also. And Abrahadabra. It shall be his child and that strangely.”

Now as any reasonably decent dictionary will inform you: “also” in the English language means “besides” or “in addition”, and so it was with this hint that I endeavoured to conjoin both the keys together (see tables overleaf).

Imagine my astonishment then, when I observed the value of the letter L; 31, “the Key of it all” (AL=L): L being the title of *The Book of the Law* prior to Achad’s

---

18 The brother in question is one James A. Barter (Frater Conquest).
19 Crowley, A; *777 & Other Qabalistic Writings*, Book One, page 34 (Samual Weiser, Inc, 1986).
20 It has just occurred to me today, July 13th 2001, as I rewrite and update this work into electronic format, that the two cards symbolic to the number 400 (mentioned above) total to 31. XXI (The Universe) + X (The Wheel Of Fortune) = XXXI (31, the key).
revelation? I attacked the cipher of II: 76 with my C+D key; the result was 676 which is 26 squared.

Now, 26 is the total value of the Sephiroth of the Middle pillar (1+6+9+10) and it attributes also to Tetragrammaton, IHVH (the unutterable name of God). Not only that, however, but most importantly, it is the number of letters in the English alphabet, and this seemed a good indication that the system might be of merit.

This technique of conjoining the two keys together may at first seem rather obscure, until of course we analyse the whole symbolism of *The Book of the Law* with its three chapters corresponding to NUIT, HADIT and RA-HOOR-KHUIT. As Crowley wrote:

Infinite space is called the goddess NUIT, while the infinitely small and atomic yet omnipresent point is called HADIT. These are unmanifest. One conjunction of these infinites is called RA-HOOR-KHUIT, a Unity which includes and heads all things.21

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KEY C=7</th>
<th>KEY D=7</th>
<th>KEY C+D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A 5</td>
<td>A 4</td>
<td>A 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 6</td>
<td>B 5</td>
<td>B 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 7</td>
<td>C 6</td>
<td>C 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D 8</td>
<td>D 7</td>
<td>D 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 9</td>
<td>E 8</td>
<td>E 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 10</td>
<td>F 9</td>
<td>F 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G 11</td>
<td>G 10</td>
<td>G 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 12</td>
<td>H 11</td>
<td>H 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I 13</td>
<td>I 12</td>
<td>I 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 14</td>
<td>J 13</td>
<td>J 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K 15</td>
<td>K 14</td>
<td>K 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 16</td>
<td>L 15</td>
<td>L 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M 17</td>
<td>M 16</td>
<td>M 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 18</td>
<td>N 17</td>
<td>N 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O 19</td>
<td>O 18</td>
<td>O 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P 20</td>
<td>P 19</td>
<td>P 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q 21</td>
<td>Q 20</td>
<td>Q 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 22</td>
<td>R 21</td>
<td>R 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 23</td>
<td>S 22</td>
<td>S 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 24</td>
<td>T 23</td>
<td>T 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 25</td>
<td>U 24</td>
<td>U 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V 26</td>
<td>V 25</td>
<td>V 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W 1</td>
<td>W 26</td>
<td>W 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X 2</td>
<td>X 1</td>
<td>X 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y 3</td>
<td>Y 2</td>
<td>Y 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z 4</td>
<td>Z 3</td>
<td>Z 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Taking this into account, it is not at all that difficult to confirm the validity of this conjunction thesis. Moreover, by C=7 we obtained 419 which corresponds to XI

21 Crowley, A; *Magick*, page 143 (Guild Publishing, 1989).
Lust; and by D=7 we obtained 400, 20 squared, which may therefore pertain to XX The Aeon, and not “The Universe” or “Fortune” as previously assumed (i.e. 20 = XX The Aeon). Hence we are brought back to the symbolism of the Formula of ShT. Shin (XX) + Teth (XI) = ShT (XXXI).

Let us examine “The Aeon” and “Lust” more closely:

Around the top of the (Aeon) card is the body of Nuith, the star-goddess, who is the category of unlimited possibility; her mate is Hadit, the ubiquitous point-of-view, the only philosophically tenable conception of Reality. He is represented by a globe of fire, representing eternal energy; winged, to show his power of Going. As a result of the marriage of these two, the child Horus is born. He is, however, known under his special name, Heru-ra-ha. A double god; his extroverted for is Ra-Hoor-Khuit; and his passive of introverted form Hoor-Pa-Kraat. He is also solar in character, and is therefore shown coming forth in golden light.22

---

XI: Lust: this is called so to emphasise not just strength, as of yore, but joy of strength exercised. In the traditional packs, the woman represents the Egyptian lioness-headed Goddess Sekhmet and/or here equally fierce Hindu equivalent, Durga.

In the few packs where a man is shown with a Lion, this stands for legends of encounters between Man and Beast such as Samson’s slaying of the lion, and his saying: “Out of the strong came forth sweetness”; Hercules’ conquest of the lion; and Daniel in the lions’ den.

The woman and lion stand for many legends of union between the human female and a God in the form of a beast: e.g. Leda and the Swan.

Myth has it that the result of such unions is a divine or semi-divine being: e.g. Hermes or Dionysus.

In the Bible, we have the Beast and the Scarlet Woman of Revelations. Crowley proudly portrayed them in his recension of the card. For him, there were no evil connotations, just the ecstasy of union between male and female, God and Goddess from which flow all created things. The Beast is God, Man and Animal conjoined; the Woman is Babalon the Great Goddess and she bears the Holy Graal.23

The more attentive reader then, will no doubt observe a significant parallel existing between the figures portrayed in these cards. Shin (XX) depicts the Gods of Liber Legis, whereas Teth (XI) shows the human beings. NUI T therefore equates with BABALON, whilst HAD (or HADIT) equates with THE BEAST. This can now be illustrated Qabalistically as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{BABALON} &= 156 \text{ (in Hebrew)} \\
\text{NUI T} &= 156 \text{ (by C+D)}
\end{align*}
\]

22 Crowley, A; The Book Of Thoth, page 115 (Samuel Weiser, Inc, 1991).
NUIT = 75 (in Hebrew)
BABALON = 75 (by C=7)

ChIVA (or BEAST in Hebrew) = 25
HAD = 25 (by C=7)

After a little research with the Gematria of the three systems, a number of curious results followed:

HOOR-PA-KRAAT
(the Egyptian form of Harpocrates)
= 168 (by C=7)
= 157 (by D=7)
= 325 (by C+D)

HARPOCRATES
(the Greek form of Hoor-Pa-Kraat)
= 168 (by C=7)
= 157 (by D=7)
= 325 (by C+D)

AIWAZ
= 28 (by C=7): the sum of the first 7 numbers
= 49 (by D=7): the value of 7 squared
= 77 (by C+D): the number obtained by multiplying 7 by 11

Further:

II: 15. “The Empress and the King are not of me”.

The Empress = D = 15 (by C+D)
The King = H = 23 or TZ = 54
D + H = 15 + 23 = 38 (38 x 11 = 418)

II: 16. “I am the Empress & the Heirophant. Thus eleven, as my bride is eleven”.

The Empress = D = 15
The Hierophant = V = 51.
D + V = 15 + 51 = 66 The Number of the Great Work
66 = the sum of the first eleven numbers.

“Thus eleven, as my bride is eleven”.

These keys will be examined further in Appendix B. For now, however, we must turn our attention to something a little more obscure.
CHAPTER FIVE
THE MAGICAL DIARY

Throughout most of his lifetime, Aleister Crowley maintained a series of scrupulously well-kept diaries. In these he recorded anything from the most trivial of matters (such as the consistency of his diarrhoea) to his highest aspirations and attainments. How very curious it is then, that during the most important phase of his entire life (the communication of *Liber Legis*), Crowley’s daily transcribings became excessively slothful and indolent:

Feb. 7. Suez.
Feb. 9. To Cairo.
Feb. 11. Saw b.f.g.
   b.f.b.
Feb. 19. To Helwan as Oriental Despot.
Mar. 16. Began INV. (invocation) IAO.
Mar. 17. Thoth appeared.
Mar. 18. Told to INV (invoke) Horus as Sol by new way.
Mar. 19. Did this badly at noon 30.
Mar. 20. At 10 p.m. did well – Equinox of Gods – Nev
   C.R.C. Hoori now Hpnt.
Mar. 21. Sol in Aries. I.A.M.
Mar. 22. X.P.B.  \( \text{\[\text{\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_]}} \)
   E.P.D. in 84 m.
Mar. 24. Met \( \text{\[\text{\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_]}} \) again.
Mar. 25. 823 Thus
   461 “ ” = pfly2bz
   218
   (Blot) wch trouble with ds.
   (Blot) P.B.

Those of you who are unfamiliar with the work of A.C. will probably find much of the above to be complete gibberish. However, most of these entries are in fact quite straightforward, and I earnestly charge the layperson to examine them further in Crowley’s *The Equinox of the Gods*.

What is of considerable interest to us here then, are the entries which are still to this day just as unintelligible for us now, as they probably were for Crowley back then; in particular: February 11th, March 22nd, March 25th, and April 6th. Although I have by no means deciphered all of these curious jottings, I do at least feel that I can contribute a little further to clarifying some of the mystery behind them.
Now as Israel Regardie put forth in his biography of Crowley, *The Eye in the Triangle*, the symbols in Arabic script (لاجى) transliterate to spell AJIHA\(^{24}\). This word, if it is a word, has no meaning whatsoever in the Arabic vocabulary, what it does have, however, is a numerical value of 93 when analysed by our conjoined system C+D. This is of substantial interest to us when we consider Crowley’s question: “May this and the entry of March 24, refer to the brother of the A:.A:. who found him?”\(^{25}\) One instantly thinks of Aiwaz.

Moreover, on March 25\(^{th}\) Crowley wrote the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Mar. 25.} & \quad 823 \quad \text{Thus} \\
& \quad 461 \quad “” = \text{pfly2bz} \\
& \quad 218
\end{align*}
\]

This is indeed most intriguing for the notation “pfly2bz” seems somewhat similar to the cipher of *Liber Legis* II: 76. As Israel Regardie wrote:

Crowley later was unable to decipher the letters and numbers he had written in his own 1904 diary. It is obvious that they had a meaning at the time, but that this has since been forgotten. No meditation or other form of psychic investigation ever enabled him to recall that significance, even after he had refused to rebel any further against the role he should play, as described in *The Book of the Law*. But that being the case, we should not expect a similar type of verse in *The Book of the Law* with their letters and numbers to be any more or less meaningful than his own diaries, which he himself could not decipher. He was the author of both – both were mysterious to him.\(^{26}\)

Perhaps therefore I can explain briefly the middle section: 461 “” = pfly2bz.

Now, by C=7, pfly2bz has a Gematric value of 61, and this, as shown previously, equates with the Hebrew word for AIN meaning “The Negative, non-existent; not”. It is therefore my opinion that the inverted commas are there to try and urge us into discovering an appropriate value to fit the equation. The only tenable answer is minus 400, hence 461 – 400 = pfly2bz (61), where 400 is the D=7 solution to *Liber Legis* II: 76.

But what of the values 823 and 218? Well, 823 is the value of the Hebrew word AVTh1VTTh meaning “Litterae” or “Letters” (of the alphabet), whereas 218 = RZIA meaning “Arcana” or “Secret”. Taking this hypothesis into account, one might assume that the diary entry means:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Mar. 25.} & \quad \text{The Letters of the Alphabet. Thus} \\
& \quad 461 – 400 = 61 \\
& \quad \text{A Secret.}
\end{align*}
\]

---

\(^{24}\) Regardie, I; *The Eye in the Triangle*, page 470 (Falcon Press, 1989).


\(^{26}\) Regardie, I; *The Eye in the Triangle*, page 472 (Falcon Press, 1989).
Why on earth would Crowley wish to do all this? Well one of the reason for his obscure behaviour might in fact be a direct result of his invocation of Horus on March 20th. As Gerald Suster defined:

Invocation, or the bringing down of Gods and Goddesses, can be regarded in at least two ways. Either there are certain invisible but powerful forces of Nature in the Universe, whose existence is unsuspected by physical science, which can inspire us with beauty and truth. Or there are certain archetypes of Collective Unconscious latent in all of us which, when rightly stimulated, can inspire us with beauty and truth.27

The direct result of invocation then, can often be possession or the losing consciousness of the Self. The magician therefore has a number of safeguards against becoming obsessed. One technique is to conclude each ceremony with a simple banishing ritual. This allows the magician to break the magical link and return to normal consciousness almost immediately.

How unsurprising it is then, to discover in The Equinox of the Gods that Crowley omitted all methods of banishing at the time. The result being memory loss, and a diary full of strange and apparently meaningless entries.

It is my contention therefore, that praeter-human intelligence (whatever that may be) utilised Crowley to plant further information regarding the keys to The Book of the Law.

---

AFTERWORD

In the Introduction to The Magical Diaries of Aleister Crowley (Weiser, 1981), Stephen Skinner remarked:

Science failed notably to progress in the Middle Ages beyond redigestion of the work of the Greeks and the Arabs. It was only through the building up of accurately observed results and practical comparisons that science began again to grow in the Renaissance.

Magic is at the same cross-roads: many writers quoting authorities, few doing their own research, and still fewer building on research already done.

I have therefore tried in this analysis to explain clearly the results I have obtained through my own Qabalistic investigations; expanding on previous discoveries where possible, and hopefully paving the way to further explorations.

Some of you, however, will no doubt denounce this work as utter nonsense. If it be your will to do so – so be it! As Crowley’s comment to Liber Legis states: “Those who discuss the contents of this Book are to be shunned by all, as centres of pestilence”. I then, for one, have no great desire to enter into lengthy, unproductive conversations on such trivial and altogether pointless matters. The Book of the Law does after all proclaim that “Success is thy proof”. However, for those of you who are still rather dubious about my findings, there is one further proof of the successful application of my findings. Although I am extremely hesitant to reveal it (lest my intentions be completely misunderstood), I feel that it is of considerable importance inasmuch that it provides further evidence regarding the potential existence of praeter-human intelligence and the curious way in which it might operate.

At birth I was given the name George Terrence Mortimer. Using the aforementioned C+D key, I am sure that the interested reader will find the numerical value of this name somewhat fascinating. I must however state that I do not, under any circumstances, consider an intriguing Gematric result to bestow any kind of authority. To do so would merely inflate those aspects of my psyche that I wish to constrain and I have no intentions of following Charles Stanfeld Jones into the depths of the Abyss.

The Magical Path is, or at least should be, one of evolution and advancement. It is therefore my opinion that these keys are there to accelerate Man’s understanding of the Universe, both within and without us. As William Shakespeare had it:

What a piece of work is man! How noble in reason, how infinite in faculty, in form and moving how express and admirable, in action how like an angel, in apprehension how like a god.

(Hamlet: Act 2, Scene 2)
In the words of Aleister Crowley: “There is no god but man”.

George T. Mortimer
(IHI AVD)
1996
## APPENDIX B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FORMULA</th>
<th>C=7</th>
<th>D=7</th>
<th>C+D</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 6 3 8 A B K 2 4</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>419 = Teth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A L G M O R 3 Y X 24 89 R P S T O V A L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>400 = 20 squared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 = XX = Shin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>676 = 26 squared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26 = IHVH (in Hebrew)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26 = The number of letters in the English alphabet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIWAZ</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>28 = 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>49 = 7 squared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>77 = 7 x 11 = OZ (in Hebrew)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31 = AL (in Hebrew)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAN</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>40 = 4 x 10 (Qabalistically significant regarding the Tree of Life)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>37 = IchIDH: The highest principle of the soul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>77 = OZ: Magical power in perfection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BABALON</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>75 = NUIT (in Hebrew)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUIT</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>156 = BABALON (in Hebrew)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEST</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>156 = BABALON (in Hebrew)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOETIA</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>156 = BABALON (in Hebrew)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAL</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>47 = 4 + 7 = 11 = XI Lust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>44 = 220 ÷ 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>44 = 4 x 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>91 = 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7 + 8 + 9 + 10 + 11 + 12 + 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13 = AchD (Unity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHILD</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>56 = 5 + 6 = 11 = XI Lust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>107 = OVAL (in Hebrew): The Angel ruling Leo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note: OVAL (RPSTOVAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVAL</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOID</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LION</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESH</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVER</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>66 = 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7 + 8 + 9 + 10 + 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>66 = The number of the Great Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>128 = 1 + 2 + 8 = 11 = XI Lust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOVAL</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>90 = Tzaddi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>85 = PH (Peh)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLAD WORD</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>90 = TOVAL (by C=7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVEALED</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200 = The Solar Resh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUM</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>Compare this with VAL formula above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEY</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51 = NA: “Failure” (in Hebrew) – “in its failure is a key also”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KA</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38 = 418 ÷ 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HARPOCRATES</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>325 = 1 + 2 + 3 + ... + 25 (Mars)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>325 = BRTzBAL: The Spirit of Mars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>325 = GRAPIAL: The Intelligence of Mars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOR-PA-KRAAT</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>325 = HARPOCRATES (by C+D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>27 = 3 x 3 x 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 = 5 squared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 = ChIVA: “Beast” (in Hebrew)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>52 = BN: “The Son” (in Hebrew)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>52 = Sol (The Sun)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOR</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FORMULA</td>
<td>C=7</td>
<td>D=7</td>
<td>C+D</td>
<td>COMMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| KHUIT          | 89  | 84  | 173 | 89 = GVP: “Shut up” (in Hebrew)  
|                |     |     |     | 89 = DMMH: “Silence” (in Hebrew)  
|                |     |     |     | 84 = DMM: “Was Silent” (in Hebrew)  
|                |     |     |     | Interesting since Ra-Hoor-Khuit is the Lord of Silence |
| RA-HOOR-KHUIT  | 188 | 177 | 365 | 365 = MEITHRAS (in Greek)  
|                |     |     |     | 365 = ABRAXAS (in Greek)  
|                |     |     |     | 365 = XNOUBIS (in Greek)  
| RA-HOOR        | 99  | 93  | 192 | 99 = 9 x 11 = The values of Teth  
|                |     |     |     | 93 = AIWAZ  
|                |     |     |     | 93 = THELEMA  
|                |     |     |     | 93 = AGAPE (see Appendix A)  
| AJIHA          | 49  | 44  | 93  | 49 = 7 squared  
|                |     |     |     | 44 = 220 ÷ 5  
|                |     |     |     | 44 = 4 x 11  
|                |     |     |     | 93 = AIWAZ etc.  
| PFLY2BZ        | 61  | 55  | 114 | 61 = AIN: “The negative, non-existent, not” (in Hebrew)  
| DEATH          | 58  | 53  | 111 | 111= Aleph (spelt in full)  
| IHI            | 38  | 35  | 73  | 38 = 7 squared  
| AUD            | 38  | 35  | 73  | 38 = 418 ÷ 11  
|                |     |     |     | 35 = 3 + 5 = 8 = Cheth = 418  
|                |     |     |     | 35 = AGLA  
|                |     |     |     | 73 = ChKMH: “Wisdom”  
|                |     |     |     | 73 = GML (Gimel) = Luna  
| AVD            | 39  | 36  | 75  | 36 = 6 squared = Sol  
|                |     |     |     | 75 = NUIT (in Hebrew)  
|                |     |     |     | 75 = BABALON (by C=7)  
|                |     |     |     | 75 = HILL : “Lucifer”  
| IHI AVD        | 77  | 71  | 148 | 77 = OZ  
| CALCULATOR     | 146 | 136 | 282 | 282 = CALCULATOR (by C+D)  
| GEORGE TERRENCE MORTIMER | 344 | 322 | 666 | 666 = 1 + 2 + 3 + ... + 36  
|                |     |     |     | 666 = Sol  
|                |     |     |     | 666 = The Number of the Beast  
|                |     |     |     | 666 = THERION = TEITAN (see Appendix A)  
| BOLESKINE HOUSE | 216 | 202 | 418 | 418 = BOLESKINE (in Hebrew)  
|                |     |     |     | 418 = ABRAHADABRA (in Hebrew)  
|                |     |     |     | This later discovery of BOLESKINE HOUSE having a value of 418 was made in November 2007 and I think emphasises further the significance of the key.  
| THE WORD OF THE LAW IS THELEMA | 319 | 347 | 666 | 666 = The Number of the Beast  
|                |     |     |     | The discovery of Liber AL: chapter I, verse 39 having a value of 666 was made in July 2009 using software created specifically for working with these keys.  

An online cipher calculator for examining the keys explored in this work can be found at [www.media-underground.net/cipher.php](http://www.media-underground.net/cipher.php)
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